



## WINDHAM COUNTY 2014 SALES BY TOWN For Single Family Homes\*



Town	# of sales	Volume	Average Sale Price	Median Sale Price	Days on Market	% of Sale Price to List Price
Ashford	50	\$8,542,225	\$170,845	\$151,900	93	96.29%
Brooklyn	94	\$17,978,148	\$191,257	\$187,000	84	96.12%
Canterbury	43	\$7,843,445	\$182,406	\$165,500	88	96.41%
Chaplin	23	\$2,918,800	\$126,904	\$110,900	86	95.61%
Eastford	16	\$2,758,000	\$172,375	\$155,000	107	92.06%
Hampton	17	\$2,734,400	\$160,847	\$160,000	216	93.56%
Killingly	176	\$27,039,658	\$153,634	\$143,450	84	96.70%
Plainfield	165	\$24,062,151	\$145,831	\$149,500	80	97.09%
Pomfret	39	\$10,365,522	\$265,783	\$252,500	97	90.25%
Putnam	66	\$10,363,964	\$157,030	\$146,500	93	95.68%
Scotland	14	\$2,659,500	\$189,964	\$179,000	159	94.02%
Sterling	37	\$6,125,500	\$165,554	\$144,900	77	95.82%
Thompson	97	\$17,855,219	\$184,074	\$163,000	80	95.89%
Windham	130	\$16,967,165	\$130,517	\$128,000	98	96.24%
Woodstock	86	\$20,971,127	\$243,850	\$226,250	90	95.62%

\*Sales reported by the members of the Connecticut Multiple Listing Service, Inc. for New London County do not represent all sales. Information deemed reliable but not guaranteed. Calculations for volume, average sale price, median sale price, days on market, and % of list price received are not calculated for fewer than 5 sales since the results may be misleading due to the small sample size.



## WINDHAM COUNTY 2014 SALES BY TOWN For Multifamily Homes\*



Town	# of sales	Volume	Average Sale Price	Median Sale Price	Days on Market	% of Sale Price to List Price
Ashford	1					
Brooklyn	2					
Canterbury	0					
Chaplin	0					
Eastford	1					
Hampton	0					
Killingly	22	\$2,618,050	\$119,002	\$86,750	74	97.49%
Plainfield	17	\$1,736,800	\$102,165	\$107,250	67	96.24%
Pomfret	1					
Putnam	12	\$1,091,001	\$90,917	\$97,500	117	88.65%
Scotland	0					
Sterling	1					
Thompson	4					
Windham	21	\$2,194,852	\$104,517	\$105,000	106	90.55%
Woodstock	1					

\*Sales reported by the members of the Connecticut Multiple Listing Service, Inc. for New London County do not represent all sales. Information deemed reliable but not guaranteed. Calculations for volume, average sale price, median sale price, days on market, and % of list price received are not calculated for fewer than 5 sales since the results may be misleading due to the small sample size.



## WINDHAM COUNTY 2014 SALES BY TOWN For Condominiums\*



Town	# of sales	Volume	Average Sale Price	Median Sale Price	Days on Market	% of Sale Price to List Price
Ashford	0					
Brooklyn	8	\$1,749,600	\$218,700	\$239,000	184	105.90%
Canterbury	0					
Chaplin	0					
Eastford	0					
Hampton	0					
Killingly	6	\$2,057,200	\$342,867	\$139,250	165	96.54%
Plainfield	4					
Pomfret	1					
Putnam	27	\$4,032,700	\$149,359	\$174,000	127	94.09%
Scotland	0					
Sterling	0					
Thompson	2					
Windham	3					
Woodstock	4					

\*Sales reported by the members of the Connecticut Multiple Listing Service, Inc. for New London County do not represent all sales. Information deemed reliable but not guaranteed. Calculations for volume, average sale price, median sale price, days on market, and % of list price received are not calculated for fewer than 5 sales since the results may be misleading due to the small sample size.



## WINDHAM COUNTY 2014 SALES BY TOWN For Mobile Homes\*



Town	# of sales	Volume	Average Sale Price	Median Sale Price	Days on Market	% of Sale Price to List Price
Ashford	1					
Brooklyn	1					
Canterbury	0					
Chaplin	1					
Eastford	0					
Hampton	0					
Killingly	6	\$382,400	\$63,733	\$72,450	51	90.87%
Plainfield	2					
Pomfret	0					
Putnam	0					
Scotland	0					
Sterling	0					
Thompson	4					
Windham	18	\$661,499	\$36,750	\$33,750	128	87.14%
Woodstock	0					

\*Sales reported by the members of the Connecticut Multiple Listing Service, Inc. for New London County do not represent all sales. Information deemed reliable but not guaranteed. Calculations for volume, average sale price, median sale price, days on market, and % of list price received are not calculated for fewer than 5 sales since the results may be misleading due to the small sample size.



## WINDHAM COUNTY 2014 SALES BY TOWN For Residential Land\*



Town	# of sales	Volume	Average Sale Price	Median Sale Price	Days on Market	% of Sale Price to List Price
Ashford	5	\$659,000	\$131,800	\$35,000	210	87.34%
Brooklyn	14	\$815,700	\$58,264	\$53,750	268	58.30%
Canterbury	7	\$276,000	\$39,429	\$32,000	102	85.26%
Chaplin	2					
Eastford	3					
Hampton	2					
Killingly	5	\$333,500	\$66,700	\$57,500	77	90.80%
Plainfield	3					
Pomfret	3					
Putnam	7	\$462,000	\$66,000	\$70,000	453	82.97%
Scotland	1					
Sterling	6	\$738,400	\$123,067	\$57,000	191	77.54%
Thompson	9	\$500,400	\$55,600	\$50,000	157	81.49%
Windham	6	\$244,000	\$40,667	\$40,000	93	89.71%
Woodstock	12	\$1,313,000	\$109,417	\$66,000	174	84.37%

\*Sales reported by the members of the Connecticut Multiple Listing Service, Inc. for New London County do not represent all sales. Information deemed reliable but not guaranteed. Calculations for volume, average sale price, median sale price, days on market, and % of list price received are not calculated for fewer than 5 sales since the results may be misleading due to the small sample size.



## WINDHAM COUNTY 2014 SALES BY TOWN For Commercial Properties\*



Town	# of sales	Volume	Average Sale Price	Median Sale Price	Days on Market	% of Sale Price to List Price
Ashford	0					
Brooklyn	5	\$891,500	\$178,300	\$179,000	267	77.60%
Canterbury	0					
Chaplin	0					
Eastford	0					
Hampton	0					
Killingly	7	\$1,324,000	\$189,143	\$99,000	47	87.47%
Plainfield	2					
Pomfret	1					
Putnam	5	\$662,000	\$132,400	\$135,000	130	90.76%
Scotland	0					
Sterling	0					
Thompson	2					
Windham	2					
Woodstock	1					

\*Sales reported by the members of the Connecticut Multiple Listing Service, Inc. for New London County do not represent all sales. Information deemed reliable but not guaranteed. Calculations for volume, average sale price, median sale price, days on market, and % of list price received are not calculated for fewer than 5 sales since the results may be misleading due to the small sample size.